

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,804	12/03/2001	Peter Van Voris	47309-00031USP1 9427 EXAMINER	
30223	7590 08/17/2004			
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. 225 WEST WASHINGTON			LEVY, NEIL S	
SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	60606		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/005,804	VORIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Neil Levy	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	12	J.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) / is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) / is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) / is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) / is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) / is/are objected to / 2 / is/are objected to / 2 / is/are objected to / 2 / is/are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. 39, 43474 24, 39, 43474 election requirement. c. epted or b) □ objected to by the E				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Please ask for corrected Bibdata, showing Van Voris, from Washington; not Voris from Virginia.

Claim 19 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9.

Claims 17 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. 17 repeats now amended one; 7 repeats deltamethin.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 12, 13, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crook et al 6224957.

The rejection of record is maintained.

It is the barrier, not future intended use, that is accorded patentable consideration-absent in consideration of Crook. Crook has the instant LDPE matrix, a pesticide, and the long term use barrier, thus obvious to provide release rate as desired, depending upon desired life of barrier, with substantially no pesticide release (col.3, top) the instant less than 0.4mg.

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12, 13, 15-18, 34-39, 43, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vonkohorn in view of Martin et al – AU13886195, Dohre 4680328 and Van Voris et al 5801194.

Art Unit: 1616

Von Kohorn shows multilayered polymeric pesticide delivery systems, with pesticide and solid carrier (example 2) and including layers to control pesticide migration, and layers (15, fig.1) to block completely or control pesticide migration (col.6, lines 11-21). For instance, the polymeric laminates can permit of no more than 0.01 microgram/square centimeter of DDT (col.12, lines 50-55). Pyrethrins (pyrethrum, allethrin-table, col.22) can be used. Rate control means include plasticizer use, polymer material of flexible or rigid nature, thickness (col.24, line 44-line 20, col.25) and number of layers (col.6, lines 55-65) although the active migrates through the polymers, it is not coextruded in the formation of the film.

<u>Martinet-also</u> provides polymeric films, for termite control, of polyethylene, with incorporated termiticides, pyrethrin (p.9) permethrin. The pesticide is impregnated or incorporated during production of the polyolefin polymer, which is extruded-thus, the binding in the polymer matrix as instantly claimed. Martinet shows overlapping layers are applied (p.6, lines 15-22), and shaped over structures.

<u>Dohre</u> also provides barrier films, showing (col.3, top) LLDPE with carbon black and insecticide (col.4, lines 23-54) up to 8% (col.4, last paragraph) mixed with the carrier and extruded (col.5, lines 18-30) to provide long term, 42 year, retention (table Π).

<u>Van Voris</u> teaches the instant polymer (col.5) last paragraph chosen for the desired pesticide release rate, inclusive of Lamdcyhalsthin (col.5, lines 33-42), with a carbon black carrier (last paragraph, col.6) at the instant ratios (col.7,

Art Unit: 1616

lines 35-53) to provide desired release rates over at least 5 years. The instant release rates are shown in table 3.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to utilize a insecticidal barrier, to use one of Von Kohorn, suitable for use in termite control as martinet demonstrates, using the same actives and polymers. Dohrer and Van Voris show the same polymer, active and carriers to be compatible and modifiable to provide the desired rate of pesticide release, even as low as the instant invention, thereby providing years of efficacy.

Claims 1, 4-9, 15-18, 34-39, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cataldo et al 5856271 in view of Burris 2899771.

See col.7, Table E1d: the instant pesticide in 6DPE matrix provides release rates of < 0.1. carbon black was carrier. However, a laminate is absent.

<u>Burris</u> shows it (fig.2) for termite control. Burris does not specify lifetime or release rate of pesticide.

Thus, the artisan would find it obvious to prepare particular ingredient combinations, and sizes, concentration and ratios of ingredients, depending upon the length of time for desired protection and ease of handling, for example.

Claims 1, 4-9, 12, 13, 15-18, 34-39, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Voris et al –6099850, Van Voris et al 6319511.

Art Unit: 1616

'609: the polymeric insecticidal barrier is shown (col.7) with the instant pesticide, carrier and polymer (col.8, line 50+) effective for years, with instant release rates (table 1).

'631: see "termites" col.18; see table E1-1 < 0.1 release rate. Laminates are at col.7, bottom. Fungicides are at col.5, lines 44-47.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/02/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants amendments and arguments, to extent persuasive, have resulted in withdrawal of rejection, but reconsideration and updated search results in continued rejection. The matrix argued for, is in Von Kohorn, the active migrates through the polymer likewise, application of a plastisol by Von Kohorn is seen as a matrix within which is pesticide evenly distributed on a solid carrier shown at example 2. The martinet patent also is seen as providing the matrix as instantly claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:00a.m to 5;30p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Levy/tgd

August 9, 2004

NEIL'S LEVY
PRIMARY EXAMINER